



9.24 Township of Mount Holly

This section presents the jurisdictional annex for the Township of Mount Holly.

9.24.1 Hazard Mitigation Plan Point of Contact

The following individuals have been identified as the hazard mitigation plan’s primary and alternate points of contact.

Primary Point of Contact	Alternate Point of Contact
Joshua Brown, Township Manager 23 Washington Street, Mount Holly, NJ 08060 609.845.1163 jbrown@twp.mountholly.nj.us	Ryan Donnelly, OEM Coordinator 23 Washington Street, Mount Holly, NJ 08060 609.820.8849 rdonnelly@mounthollyfire.org

9.24.2 Municipal Profile

The Township of Mount Holly is governed under the Township form of government. Under this form, Mount Holly is governed by five Council members, each of whom is elected for a four-year staggered term. At the beginning of each year, the Council members elect one of their members to serve as Mayor and one as Deputy Mayor for that year.

This five-member Council is empowered to enact local ordinances, to levy municipal taxes and conduct the affairs of this community. The Township Council conducts all of its business during March 2019ly meetings open to the public.¹

The Township of Mount Holly is centrally located in the northern portion of Burlington County. The Township is bordered by Lumberton to the south, Hainesport to the west, Westampton to the north, and Eastampton to the east. Clermont is the only unincorporated community in Mount Holly. According to the 2010 Census, the community's population was 9,536.

Growth/Development Trends

The Township of Mount Holly did not note any recent residential/commercial development since 2013 or any major residential or commercial development, or major infrastructure development planned for the next five years in the municipality.

Table 9.24-1. Growth and Development

Property or Development Name	Type (e.g. Res., Comm.)	# of Units / Structures	Location (address and/or block/lot)	Known Hazard Zone(s)	Description/Status of Development
Recent Development from 2013 to present					
None identified.					
Known or Anticipated Development in the Next Five (5) Years					
None identified.					

** Only location-specific hazard zones or vulnerabilities identified.*

¹ <http://www.twp.mountholly.nj.us/content/386/557/default.aspx>





9.24.3 Natural Hazard Event History Specific to the Municipality

Burlington County has a history of natural and non-natural hazard events as detailed in Volume I, Section 5.0 of this plan. A summary of historical events is provided in each of the hazard profiles and includes a chronology of events that have affected the County and its municipalities. For the purpose of this plan update, events that have occurred in the County from 2013 to present were summarized to indicate the range and impact of hazard events in the community. Information regarding specific damages is included, if available, based on reference material or local sources. This information is presented in the table below. For details of these and additional events, refer to Volume I, Section 5.0 of this plan.

Table 9.24-2. Hazard Event History

Dates of Event	Event Type (Disaster Declaration if applicable)	Burlington County Designated?	Summary of Damages/Losses
April 30-May 1, 2014	Heavy Rain and Flooding		Downed trees and wires, power outages, road closures
June 23, 2015	Severe Storm (DR-4231)	Yes	Downed trees and wires, power outages, road closures
January 22-24, 2016	Severe Winter Storm (DR-4264)	Yes	Downed trees and wires, power outages, road closures

Notes:

- EM Emergency Declaration (FEMA)
- FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency
- DR Major Disaster Declaration (FEMA)
- N/A Not applicable

9.24.4 Hazard Vulnerabilities and Ranking

The hazard profiles in Section 5.0 of this plan have detailed information regarding each plan participant’s vulnerability to the identified hazards. The following summarizes the hazard vulnerabilities and their ranking in the Township of Mount Holly. For additional vulnerability information relevant to this jurisdiction, refer to Section 5.0.

Hazard Risk/Vulnerability Risk Ranking

The table below summarizes the hazard risk/vulnerability rankings of potential hazards for the Township of Mount Holly.

Table 9.24-3. Hazard Risk/Vulnerability Risk Ranking

Hazard type	Estimate of Potential Dollar Losses to Structures Vulnerable to the Hazard ^{a, c}	Probability of Occurrence	Risk Ranking Score (Probability x Impact)	Hazard Ranking ^b
Coastal Erosion	RCV Exposed to CE Hazard Area: \$60,280,166	Occasional	12	Low
Drought	Damage estimate not available.	Frequent	30	Medium
Earthquake	100-Year GBS: \$0 500-Year GBS: \$2,101,142 2,500-Year GBS: \$30,582,802	Occasional	28	Medium
Flood	1% Annual Chance: \$124,879,749	Frequent	18	High





Hazard type	Estimate of Potential Dollar Losses to Structures Vulnerable to the Hazard ^{a, c}	Probability of Occurrence	Risk Ranking Score (Probability x Impact)	Hazard Ranking ^b
Landslide	RCV Exposed to Landslide Hazard Area \$0	Rare	6	Low
Severe Storm	100-year MRP: \$2,113,887 500-year MRP: \$10,559,089 Annualized: \$120,881	Frequent	48	High
Severe Winter Weather	1% GBS: \$21,500,689 5% GBS: \$107,503,443	Frequent	51	High
Wildfire	Estimated Value in the Extreme, Very High, and High Hazard Areas: \$1,200,893	Occasional	16	Medium

Notes:

- a. Building damage ratio estimates based on FEMA 386-2 (August 2001)
- b. The valuation of general building stock and loss estimates was based on custom inventory for the municipality.
High = Total hazard priority risk ranking score of 31 and above
Medium = Total hazard priority risk ranking of 20-30+
Low = Total hazard risk ranking below 20
- c. Loss estimates for the severe storm and severe winter storm hazards are structural values only and do not include the value of contents.
- d. Loss estimates for the flood and earthquake hazards represent both structure and contents.
- e. The HAZUS-MH earthquake model results are reported by Census Tract.

National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Summary

The following table summarizes the NFIP statistics for the Township of Mount Holly.

Table 9.24-4. NFIP Summary

Municipality	# Policies (1)	# Claims (Losses) (1)	Total Loss Payments (2)	# Rep. Loss Prop. (1)	# Severe Rep. Loss Prop. (1)	# Policies in 100-year Boundary (3)
Township of Mount Holly	75	108	\$1,470,161.61	6	0	2

Source: FEMA Region 2 2017, 2018

- (1) Repetitive loss and severe repetitive loss statistics provided by FEMA Region 2 and are current as of 10/31/2017. Policy and claims statistics current as of 9/30/2018
Please note the total number of repetitive loss properties does not include the severe repetitive loss properties. The number of claims represents claims closed by 9/30/2018.
- (2) Total building and content losses from the claims file provided by FEMA Region 2.
- (3) The policies inside and outside of the flood zones are based on the addresses geocoded from the FEMA Region 2 policy file – 10/31/2017.

Notes: FEMA noted that where there is more than one entry for a property, there may be more than one policy in force or more than one GIS possibility.
A zero percentage denotes less than 1/100th percentage and not zero damages or vulnerability as may be the case.

Critical Facilities

The table below presents Hazards United States (HAZUS) – Multi-Hazards (MH) estimates of the damage and loss of use to critical facilities in the community as a result of a 1-percent annual chance flood event.





Table 9.24-5. Potential Flood Losses to Critical Facilities

Name	Type	Exposure		Potential Loss from 1% Flood Event	
		1% Event	0.2% Event	Percent Structure Damage	Percent Content Damage
408 - Dreker Property - Shed/Pole Barn	County Building	X	X	0	0
492 - Communications Equipment - Mount Holly	County Building	X	X	0	0
521 - Dept Of Public Works Warehouse/Garage/Offices	County Building		X	-	-
Mount Holly Fire Dept	Fire		X	-	-
Mount Holly Municipal Utility Authority	Wastewater Treatment		X	-	-
Mount Holly Township Municipal Building	Municipal Hall	X	X	4.5	27
Mount Holly Twp Police Dept	Police	X	X	9.7	18.8
Relief Fire Engine Co #1	Fire		X	-	-
Rowan College	School		X	-	-
Station 501	EOC		X	-	-
Stockton's Dry Cleaning	Chemical		X	-	-

Source: FEMA 2017, Burlington County
 Note: - = Damages not calculated by HAZUS-MH v4.0

Other Vulnerabilities Identified

The municipality has identified the following vulnerabilities within their community:

- All Sources of flooding - flooding is primarily due to continental and ocean bred storms resulting in both riverine and tidal flooding. Mount Holly is affected by tidal surge of the Delaware River. Tidal backwater from the Delaware River affects inland locations in Mount Holly.
- Rancocas Creek North Branch - flooding is made more severe due to undersized culverts connecting the dredged stream to the former stream under the railroad embankment and from Buttonwood Run due to increasing development upstream in the Township of Eastampton.

9.24.5 Capability Assessment

This section identifies the following capabilities of the local jurisdiction:

- Planning and regulatory capability
- Administrative and technical capability
- Fiscal capability
- Community classification
- National Flood Insurance Program
- Integration of mitigation planning into existing and future planning mechanisms

Planning and Regulatory Capability





The table below summarizes the regulatory tools that are available to the Township of Mount Holly.

Table 9.24-7. Planning and Regulatory Tools

Tool / Program (code, ordinance, plan)	Do you have this? (Yes/No) If Yes, date of adoption or update	Authority (local, county, state, federal)	Dept. /Agency Responsible	Code Citation and Comments (Code Chapter, name of plan, explanation of authority, etc.)
Planning Capability				
Master Plan	Yes	Local	JLUB	
Capital Improvements Plan	No			
Floodplain Management / Basin Plan	5/11/87	Local	Construction Official	Twp. Code Chp. 149
Stormwater Management Plan	9/26/05	Local	Twp. Officials	Twp. Code Chp. 240B
Open Space Plan	No		Twp. Code Chapter 149	Construction Official
Stream Corridor Management Plan	6/22/92	Local	Twp. Code Chapter 149	Construction Official
Watershed Management or Protection Plan	6/22/92	Local	Twp. Code Chapter 149	Construction Official
Economic Development Plan	No			Economic Development Director
Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan	5/09	Local		OEM
Emergency Operation Plan	No			
Post-Disaster Recovery Plan	5/09	Local		OEM
Transportation Plan	No			
Strategic Recovery Planning Report	No			
Other Plans:				
Regulatory Capability				
Building Code	Yes	State & Local	Construction Official	Twp. Code Chp. 96 & NJAC 5:23
Zoning Ordinance	6/22/92	Local	Zoning Officer	Twp. Code Chp. 149
Subdivision Ordinance	6/22/92	Local	Zoning Officer	Twp. Code Chp. 149
NFIP Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance	5/11/87	Federal, State, Local	Construction Official	Twp. Code Chp. 149
NFIP: Cumulative Substantial Damages	No			
NFIP: Freeboard	Yes	State, Local		
Growth Management Ordinances	11/14/05	Local	Joint Land Use Board	Twp. Code Chp. 149
Site Plan Review Requirements	Yes	Local	Twp. Code Chapter 149	JLUB/ Construction Official
Stormwater Management Ordinance	9/26/05	Local	Twp. Officials	Twp. Code Chp. 240B
Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4)	No			





Tool / Program (code, ordinance, plan)	Do you have this? (Yes/No) If Yes, date of adoption or update	Authority (local, county, state, federal)	Dept. /Agency Responsible	Code Citation and Comments (Code Chapter, name of plan, explanation of authority, etc.)
Natural Hazard Ordinance	No			
Post-Disaster Recovery Ordinance	No			
Real Estate Disclosure Requirement	Yes	State		
Other (Special Purpose Ordinances [i.e., sensitive areas, steep slope])				

Administrative and Technical Capability

The table below summarizes potential staff and personnel resources available to the Township of Mount Holly.

Table 9.24-8. Administrative and Technical Capabilities

Resources	Is this in place? (Yes or No)	Department/ Agency/Position
Administrative Capability		
Planning Board	Y	Planning Board
Mitigation Planning Committee	N	
Environmental Board/Commission	Y	Environmental Advisory Committee
Open Space Board/Committee	Y	Environmental Advisory Committee
Economic Development Commission/Committee	Y	Board of Directors
Maintenance programs to reduce risk	N	
Mutual aid agreements	Y	
Technical/Staffing Capability		
Planner(s) or engineer(s) with knowledge of land development and land management practices	Y	Alaimo Associates
Engineer(s) or professional(s) trained in construction practices related to buildings and/or infrastructure	Y	Construction Official
Planners or engineers with an understanding of natural hazards	Y	Environmental Resolutions
NFIP Floodplain Administrator (FPA)	Y	Construction Official
Surveyor(s)	Y	KS Engineers
Personnel skilled or trained in GIS and/or Hazards United States (HAZUS) – Multi-Hazards (MH) applications	Y	Alaimo/ERI Associates
Scientist familiar with natural hazards	N	
Emergency Manager	Y	Mt. Holly OEM
Grant writer(s)	Y	Finance Dept./ Twp Managers Assistant
Staff with expertise or training in benefit/cost analysis	N	
Professionals trained in conducting damage assessments	N	

Fiscal Capability





The table below summarizes financial resources available to the Township of Mount Holly.

Table 9.24-9. Fiscal Capabilities

Financial Resources	Accessible or Eligible to Use (Yes/No)
Community development Block Grants (CDBG, CDBG-DR)	Yes
Capital improvements project funding	Yes
Authority to levy taxes for specific purposes	Yes
User fees for water, sewer, gas or electric service	No
Impact fees for homebuyers or developers of new development/homes	Yes
Stormwater utility fee	No
Incur debt through general obligation bonds	Yes
Incur debt through special tax bonds	Yes
Incur debt through private activity bonds	No
Withhold public expenditures in hazard-prone areas	No
Other federal or state Funding Programs	Yes
Open Space Acquisition funding programs	No
Other	

Community Classifications

The table below summarizes classifications for community program available to the Township of Mount Holly.

Table 9.24-10. Community Classifications

Program	Do you have this? (Yes/No)	Classification (if applicable)	Date Classified (if applicable)
Community Rating System (CRS)	No	N/A	N/A
Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule (BCEGS)	No		
Public Protection (ISO Fire Protection Classes 1 to 10)	No		
Storm Ready Certification	No	N/A	N/A
Firewise Communities classification	No	N/A	N/A
Natural disaster/safety programs in/for schools	No		
Organizations with mitigation focus (advocacy group, non-government)	No		
Public education program/outreach (through website, social media)	No		
Public-private partnership initiatives addressing disaster-related issues	No		

Note:

- N/A Not applicable
- NP Not participating
- Unavailable

The classifications listed above relate to the community’s ability to provide effective services to lessen its vulnerability to the hazards identified. These classifications can be viewed as a gauge of the community’s capabilities in all phases of emergency management (preparedness, response, recovery and mitigation) and are used as an underwriting parameter for determining the costs of various forms of insurance. The CRS class applies to flood insurance while the BCEGS and Public Protection classifications apply to standard property





insurance. CRS classifications range on a scale of 1 to 10 with class 1 being the best possible classification, and class 10 representing no classification benefit. Firewise classifications include a higher classification when the subject property is located beyond 1000 feet of a creditable fire hydrant and is within 5 road miles of a recognized Fire Station.

Criteria for classification credits are outlined in the following documents:

- The Community Rating System Coordinators Manual
- The Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule
- The ISO Mitigation online ISO’s Public Protection website at <https://www.isomitigation.com/ppc/>
- The National Weather Service Storm Ready website at <http://www.stormready.noaa.gov/index.html>
- The National Firewise Communities website at <http://firewise.org/>

Self-Assessment of Capability

The table below provides an approximate measure of the Township of Mount Holly’s capability to work in a hazard-mitigation capacity and/or effectively implement hazard mitigation strategies to reduce hazard vulnerabilities.

Table 9.24-11. Self-Assessment Capability for the Municipality

Area	Degree of Hazard Mitigation Capability		
	Limited (If limited, what are your obstacles?)*	Moderate	High
Planning and regulatory capability		X	
Administrative and technical capability		X	
Fiscal capability		X	
Community political capability		X	
Community resiliency capability		X	
Capability to integrate mitigation into municipal processes and activities		X	

National Flood Insurance Program

NFIP Floodplain Administrator (FPA)

Tom Casey, Construction Official

Flood Vulnerability Summary

At this time, the municipality does not maintain a list or inventory of properties that have been flood damaged, and does not have a record of the number of homes that may have been damaged during Hurricane Sandy or other storm events. The municipality does not make sustainable damage estimates and does not have a count for a given storm event. Funding sources for potential mitigated properties can include local budget or grant funding with aid from the property owner.

Resources

The FPA is the sole person assuming the responsibilities of floodplain administration for the Township. NFIP administration services and functions provided by the FPA include, permit review, inspections, and education and outreach. The Township provides education and outreach to the community regarding the flood hazard;





information and links to flood maps and insurance information is available on the website. The FPA is adequately supported and retained to fulfill the responsibilities as the FPA, but would consider attending continuing education and/or certification training on floodplain management if it were offered in the County.

Compliance History

The Township is currently in good standing with the NFIP; however, the date of the most recent compliance audit is unknown.

Regulatory

The Township's floodplain management regulations/ordinances meet the FEMA and State minimum requirements. The planning board and zoning board consider efforts to reduce flood risk when reviewing variances such as height restrictions. The Township has considered joining the Community Rating System (CRS) and would attend a CRS seminar if offered locally.

Integration of Hazard Mitigation into Existing and Future Planning Mechanisms

For a community to succeed in reducing long-term risk, hazard mitigation must be integrated into the day-to-day local government operations. As part of this planning effort, each community was surveyed to obtain a better understanding of their community's progress in plan integration. A summary is provided below. In addition, the community identified specific integration activities that will be incorporated into municipal procedures.

Regulatory and Enforcement (Ordinances)

NJDEP Municipal Stormwater Regulation Program: New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection issued the statewide municipal stormwater permits that became effective January 1, 2018 and authorizes stormwater discharges from municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s) to the waters of the state. Municipalities that have been issued a Notice of Authorization (NOA) to discharge under the Tier A (urban and coastal municipalities) or Tier B (more rural municipalities) master general permit must develop and implement a stormwater program. The first New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES) permit authorizing discharges from MS4 municipalities became effective in 2004 (subsequently renewed in 2009 and now in 2018), so most municipalities have developed stormwater programs; however, the 2018 permit requires the municipalities to maintain a stormwater management plan and enforce stormwater ordinances to address development and redevelopment consistent with the Stormwater Management rules at N.J.A.C 7:8, as well as implementation of additional requirements. For more information on the municipal stormwater regulation program, see www.nj.gov/dep/dwq/msrp_home.htm. Mount Holly is a Tier A municipality.

Stormwater Management: Chapter 240B of the municipal code discusses stormwater management. The policy of this chapter is: Flood control, groundwater recharge, and pollutant reduction through nonstructural or low-impact techniques shall be explored before relying on structural BMPs. Structural BMPs should be integrated with nonstructural stormwater management strategies and proper maintenance plans. Nonstructural strategies include both environmentally sensitive site design and source controls that prevent pollutants from being placed on the site or from being exposed to stormwater. Source control plans should be developed based upon physical site conditions and the origin, nature, and the anticipated quantity or amount of potential pollutants. Multiple stormwater management BMPs may be necessary to achieve the established performance standards for water quality, quantity, and groundwater recharge. It is the purpose of this chapter to establish minimum stormwater management requirements and controls for "major development."



Flood Damage Prevention: Flood damage prevention is covered as Article XXVII under Part 3 Zoning of Chapter 149: Land Use in the municipal code. The purpose of article is to promote the public health, safety and general welfare, and to minimize public and private losses due to flood conditions in specific areas by provisions designed to:

- Protect human life and health.
- Minimize expenditure of public money for costly flood control projects.
- Minimize the need for rescue and relief efforts associated with flooding and generally undertaken at the expense of the general public.
- Minimize prolonged business interruptions.
- Minimize damage to public facilities and utilities such as water and gas mains; electric, telephone and sewer lines; and streets and bridges located in areas of special flood hazard.
- Help maintain a stable tax base by providing for the second use and development of areas of special flood hazard so as to minimize future flood blight areas.
- Ensure that potential buyers are notified that property is in an area of special flood hazard.
- Ensure that those who occupy the areas of special flood hazard assume responsibility for their actions.

Operational and Administration

The Township of Mount Holly has the following boards and commissions:

- Environmental Advisory Committee
- Historic Preservation Commission
- Information Technology Commission
- Planning Board
- Recreation Advisory Committee
- Zoning Board of Adjustment

Funding

The Township has access to Capital Improvements project funding and is eligible to apply for Community Development Block Grants, FEMA Pre-Disaster Mitigation or Flood Mitigation Assistance grant funding, or other state/federal grants to fund mitigation projects.

Education and Outreach

The Township of Mount Holly has a webpage where one can access information about the community, government and services, Township projects, economic development, public safety, forms and documents, police department, parks and recreation, and see news and events. On the Public Safety page citizens are encouraged to sign up for CODE RED and nixle to receive emergency notifications. The Mont Holly Fire Department page has featured news which includes information like fireplace safety, avoiding the deep freeze, and the dangers of carbon monoxide (CO).

9.24.6 Mitigation Strategy and Prioritization

This section discusses past mitigations actions and status, describes proposed hazard mitigation initiatives, and prioritization.

Past Mitigation Initiative Status





The following table indicates progress on the community’s mitigation strategy identified in the 2013 Plan. Actions that are carried forward as part of this plan update are included in the following subsection in its own table with prioritization. Previous actions that are now on-going programs and capabilities are indicated as such in the following table and may also be found under ‘Capability Assessment’ presented previously in this annex.

DRAFT



Table 9.24-12. Status of Previous Mitigation Actions

Action Number	2013 Mitigation Action	Responsible Party	Status (In progress, No progress, Complete)	Describe Status 1. Please describe what was accomplished and indicate % complete. 2. If there was no progress, indicate what obstacles/delays encountered? 3. If there was progress, how is/was the action being funded (e.g., FEMA HMGP grant, local budget)?	Next Steps 1. Project to be included in 2019 HMP or Discontinue 2. If including action in the 2019 HMP, revise/reword to be more specific (as appropriate). 3. If discontinue, explain why.
HP-1	<p>Conduct and facilitate community and public education and outreach for residents and business to include, but not be limited to, the following to promote and affect natural hazard risk reduction: Provide and maintain links to the HMP website, and regularly post notices on the County/municipal homepages referencing the HMP web pages.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prepare and distribute informational letters to flood vulnerable property owners and neighborhood associations, explaining the availability of mitigation grant funding to mitigate their properties and instructing them on how they can learn more and implement mitigation. <p>Use newsletters to better educate the public on flood insurance, the availability of mitigation grant funding and personal natural hazard risk reduction measures</p>	Municipality with support from Planning Partners, County Planning, NJOEM, FEMA	No Progress	Action was not implement	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Include in 2019 HMP 2. Pursue and complete action
HP-2	Incorporate ordinances and/or zoning restrictions to control and mitigate future development in hazard areas, specifically, Section	Municipality with support from County, NJOEM and FEMA	In Progress	Working to update code to include restrictions to control and mitigate future development in hazard areas	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Include in 2019 HMP 2. Complete code update





Action Number	2013 Mitigation Action	Responsible Party	Status (In progress, No progress, Complete)	Describe Status 1. Please describe what was accomplished and indicate % complete. 2. If there was no progress, indicate what obstacles/delays encountered? 3. If there was progress, how is/was the action being funded (e.g., FEMA HMGP grant, local budget)?	Next Steps 1. Project to be included in 2019 HMP or Discontinue 2. If including action in the 2019 HMP, revise/reword to be more specific (as appropriate). 3. If discontinue, explain why.
	149 of the Mount Holly Township Code				
HP-3	Obtain and install backup power sources in critical facilities	Municipality with support from County, NJOEM, and FEMA	No Progress	Budget Constraints	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Include in 2019 HMP 2. Secure funding
HP-4	Create/enhance/maintain mutual aid agreements with neighboring communities for continuity of operations	Municipality with support from County, NJOEM, FEMA, and surrounding counties	Complete	Complete	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discontinue 2. Ongoing capability
HP-5	Work with regional agencies (i.e. County and NJOEM) to help develop damage assessment capabilities at the local level to include training and certification programs(e.g. code officials, floodplain managers, engineers)	Municipality with support from County, NJOEM, and FEMA	Complete	Complete	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discontinue <p>Ongoing capability; continue to work with regional agencies to help develop damage assessment capabilities</p>
HP-6	Continue to support the implementation, monitoring, maintenance, and updating of this plan as defined in Section 7.0.	Municipality with support from Planning Partners, County Planning, NJOEM, and FEMA	Complete	Complete	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discontinue 2. Participating in plan update
HP-7	Maintain compliance with and good standing in the NFIP including adoption and enforcement of floodplain management requirements (e.g. regulating all new and substantially improved construction in Special Hazard Flood Areas), floodplain	Municipality (via municipal engineer/NFIP Floodplain Administrator) with support from NJOEM, FEMA	Complete	Township has maintained good-standing in the NFIP	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discontinue 2. Ongoing capability





Action Number	2013 Mitigation Action	Responsible Party	Status (In progress, No progress, Complete)	Describe Status 1. Please describe what was accomplished and indicate % complete. 2. If there was no progress, indicate what obstacles/delays encountered? 3. If there was progress, how is/was the action being funded (e.g., FEMA HMGP grant, local budget)?	Next Steps 1. Project to be included in 2019 HMP or Discontinue 2. If including action in the 2019 HMP, revise/reword to be more specific (as appropriate). 3. If discontinue, explain why.
	identification and mapping, and flood insurance outreach to the community. Further, continue to meet and/or exceed the minimum NFIP standards and criteria through the following NFIP-related continued compliance actions.				
HP-8	Promote the participation of Floodplain Administrators within the planning process and other activities.	Municipality with support from County, NJOEM, FEMA	Complete	FPA incorporated into the planning process and related activities	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discontinue 2. Ongoing capability
HP-9	Implement, review, and enforce municipal policies and programs to prevent trees from threatening lives and impacting power availability/interruption.	Municipal Code Enforcement	Complete	Complete	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discontinue 2. Ongoing capability
HP-10	Evaluate benefits of participating in CRS program	Township Committee, Planning			
HP-11	Conduct and facilitate community and public outreach for residents and businesses to promote natural hazard risk reduction to include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disaster Preparedness • Hazard Mitigation 	Municipality with support from Planning Partners, County Planning, NJOEM, FEMA	Complete	Complete	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discontinue 2. Ongoing capability
HP-12	Improve municipal communications systems to include information sharing with county and surrounding municipalities.	Municipality with support from County, NJOEM, FEMA	Complete	Burlington County is in the process of updating our county radios to 700mhz system.; Funding is through Burlington County.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discontinue 2. County leading effort
HP -13	Enhance resilience to severe storms by joining the NOAA "Storm Ready" program.	Municipality with support from County,	In Progress	Budget constraints	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Include in 2018 HMP 2. Determine benefit of joining the program





Action Number	2013 Mitigation Action	Responsible Party	Status (In progress, No progress, Complete)	Describe Status 1. Please describe what was accomplished and indicate % complete. 2. If there was no progress, indicate what obstacles/delays encountered? 3. If there was progress, how is/was the action being funded (e.g., FEMA HMGP grant, local budget)?	Next Steps 1. Project to be included in 2019 HMP or Discontinue 2. If including action in the 2019 HMP, revise/reword to be more specific (as appropriate). 3. If discontinue, explain why.
		NJOEM, FEMA			
HP -14	Provide public education and outreach on proper installation and/or use of backup power.	Municipal Clerk	In Progress	Budget constraints	1. Include in 2019 HMP 2. Seek funding
HP -15	Address dangerous trees, threatening people and property through proactive tree-trimming (vegetation management) programs in conjunction with property owners and utility companies	Municipal DPW	Complete	Complete	3. Discontinue Ongoing capability
HP-16	Support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (eg. Elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage with repetitive loss and severe repetitive loss properties as a priority when applicable. Phase 1: Identify appropriate candidates based on cost-effectiveness and repetitive loss. Phase 2: Work with the property owners to implement selected action based on available funding from the State and FEMA and local match availability.	Municipality (via Municipal Engineer/NFIP Floodplain Administrator) with support from County Planning, NJOEM, FEMA	In progress	Identifying best practices	1. Include in 2019 HMP Allocate further resources to determining the best course of action





Completed Mitigation Initiatives not Identified in the Previous Mitigation Strategy

The Township of Mount Holly has identified the following mitigation projects/activities that have also been completed but were not identified in the previous mitigation strategy in the 2013 Plan:

- None identified by the municipality.

Proposed Hazard Mitigation Initiatives for the Plan Update

The municipality participated in a mitigation action workshop in March 2019 and was provided the following FEMA publications to use as a resource as part of their comprehensive review of all possible activities and mitigation measures to address their hazards: FEMA 551 ‘Selecting Appropriate Mitigation Measures for Floodprone Structures’ (March 2007) and FEMA ‘Mitigation Ideas – A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards’ (January 2013).

Table 9.24-13 summarizes the comprehensive-range of specific mitigation initiatives the Township of Mount Holly would like to pursue in the future to reduce the effects of hazards. Some of these initiatives may be previous actions carried forward for this plan update. These initiatives are dependent upon available funding (grants and local match availability) and may be modified or omitted at any time based on the occurrence of new hazard events and changes in municipal priorities. Both the four FEMA mitigation action categories and the six CRS mitigation action categories are listed in the table below to further demonstrate the wide-range of activities and mitigation measures selected.

As discussed in Section 6, 14 evaluation/prioritization criteria are used to complete the prioritization of mitigation initiatives. For each new mitigation action, a numeric rank is assigned (-1, 0, or 1) for each of the 14 evaluation criteria to assist with prioritizing your actions as ‘High’, ‘Medium’, or ‘Low.’ The table below summarizes the evaluation of each mitigation initiative, listed by Action Number.

Table 9.24-14 provides a summary of the prioritization of all proposed mitigation initiatives for the Plan update.



Table 9.24-13. Proposed Hazard Mitigation Initiatives

Initiative	Mitigation Initiative	Critical Facility (Yes / No)	Hazard(s) Mitigated	Goals and Objectives Met	Lead and Support Agencies	Estimated Benefits	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	Timeline	Priority	Mitigation Category	CRS Category
HP-1 (Former HP-1)	<p>Conduct and facilitate community and public education and outreach for residents and business to include, but not be limited to, the following to promote and affect natural hazard risk reduction:</p> <p>Provide and maintain links to the HMP website, and regularly post notices on the County/municipal homepages referencing the HMP web pages.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prepare and distribute informational letters to flood vulnerable property owners and neighborhood associations, explaining the availability of mitigation grant funding to mitigate their properties and instructing them on how they can learn more and implement mitigation. <p>Use newsletters to better educate the public on flood insurance, the availability of mitigation grant funding and personal natural hazard risk reduction measures</p>	No	All Hazards	1, 2, 5	Municipality with support from Planning Partners, County Planning, NJOEM, FEMA	Medium	Medium	Municipal Budget, HMA programs with local or county match	Short Term	High	EAP	PI
HP-2 (Former HP-2)	Incorporate ordinances and/or zoning restrictions to control and mitigate future development in hazard areas, specifically, Section 149 of the Mount Holly Township Code	No	All Hazards	1, 2	Municipality with support from County, NJOEM and FEMA	Medium	Medium	Municipal Budget	Short	Medium	LPR	PR
HP-3 (Former HP-3)	Obtain and install backup power sources in critical facilities	Yes	All Hazards	1, 2, 6	Municipality with support from County, NJOEM, and FEMA	Medium	Medium	Municipal Budget	Short	Medium	SIP	ES





Table 9.24-13. Proposed Hazard Mitigation Initiatives

Initiative	Mitigation Initiative	Critical Facility (Yes / No)	Hazard(s) Mitigated	Goals and Objectives Met	Lead and Support Agencies	Estimated Benefits	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	Timeline	Priority	Mitigation Category	CRS Category
HP-4 (Former HP -13)	Enhance resilience to severe storms by joining the NOAA “Storm Ready” program.	No	Severe Storm	All	Municipality with support from County, NJOEM, FEMA	Medium	Low	Municipal Budget	Short	Medium	LPR	PI
HP-5 (Former HP -14)	Provide public education and outreach on proper installation and/or use of backup power.	No	Severe Storm	1, 2, 5	Municipal Clerk	Medium	Low	Municipal Budget	Short	High	EAP	PI
HP-6 (Former HP-16)	Support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (eg. Elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage with repetitive loss and severe repetitive loss properties as a priority when applicable. Phase 1: Identify appropriate candidates based on cost-effectiveness and repetitive loss. Phase 2: Work with the property owners to implement selected action based on available funding from the State and FEMA and local match availability.	No	Flood, Severe Storm	1, 2	Municipality (via Municipal Engineer/NFI P Floodplain Administrator) with support from County Planning, NJOEM, FEMA	High	High	Municipal budget, Grant funding	Short	High	SIP	PP
HP-7	Coordinate with the facility managers at County Buildings in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage. Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.	Yes	Flood, Severe Storm	1, 2, 6	Municipality with support from County	High	High	HMA Grants, Municipal Budget	Short Term DOF	Medium	SIP	SP, PP





Table 9.24-13. Proposed Hazard Mitigation Initiatives

Initiative	Mitigation Initiative	Critical Facility (Yes / No)	Hazard(s) Mitigated	Goals and Objectives Met	Lead and Support Agencies	Estimated Benefits	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	Timeline	Priority	Mitigation Category	CRS Category
HP-8	<p>Coordinate with the facility managers at Fire Departments in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.</p> <p>Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.</p>	Yes	Flood, Severe Storm	1, 2, 6	Municipality with support from County	High	High	HMA Grants, Municipal Budget	Short Term DOF	Medium	SIP	SP, PP
HP-9	<p>Coordinate with the facility managers at Mount Holly Municipal Utility Authority in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.</p> <p>Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.</p>	Yes	Flood, Severe Storm	1, 2, 6	Municipality with support from County	High	High	HMA Grants, Municipal Budget	Short Term DOF	Medium	SIP	SP, PP
HP-10	<p>Coordinate with the facility managers at Mount Holly Township Municipal Building in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.</p> <p>Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option</p>	Yes	Flood, Severe Storm	1, 2, 6	Municipality with support from County	High	High	HMA Grants, Municipal Budget	Short Term DOF	Medium	SIP	SP, PP





Table 9.24-13. Proposed Hazard Mitigation Initiatives

Initiative	Mitigation Initiative	Critical Facility (Yes / No)	Hazard(s) Mitigated	Goals and Objectives Met	Lead and Support Agencies	Estimated Benefits	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	Timeline	Priority	Mitigation Category	CRS Category
	Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.											
HP-11	Coordinate with the facility managers at Mount Holly Township Police Department in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage. Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.	Yes	Flood, Severe Storm	1, 2, 6	Municipality with support from County	High	High	HMA Grants, Municipal Budget	Short Term DOF	Medium	SIP	SP, PP
HP-12	Coordinate with the facility managers at Relief Fire Engine Co #1 in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage. Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.	Yes	Flood, Severe Storm	1, 2, 6	Municipality with support from County	High	High	HMA Grants, Municipal Budget	Short Term DOF	Medium	SIP	SP, PP
HP-13	Coordinate with the facility managers at Rowan College in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.	Yes	Flood, Severe Storm	1, 2, 6	Municipality with support from County	High	High	HMA Grants, Municipal Budget	Short Term DOF	Medium	SIP	SP, PP





Table 9.24-13. Proposed Hazard Mitigation Initiatives

Initiative	Mitigation Initiative	Critical Facility (Yes / No)	Hazard(s) Mitigated	Goals and Objectives Met	Lead and Support Agencies	Estimated Benefits	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	Timeline	Priority	Mitigation Category	CRS Category
	Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.											
HP-14	Coordinate with the facility managers at Station 501 in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage. Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.	Yes	Flood, Severe Storm	1, 2, 6	Municipality with support from County	High	High	HMA Grants, Municipal Budget	Short Term DOF	Medium	SIP	SP, PP
HP-15	Coordinate with the facility managers at Stockton's Dry Cleaning in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage. Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.	Yes	Flood, Severe Storm	1, 2, 6	Municipality with support from County	High	High	HMA Grants, Municipal Budget	Short Term DOF	Medium	SIP	SP, PP
HP-16	During future updates of the Master Plan, Floodplain Management Plan, the Emergency Operation Plan, or other plans, work to integrate hazard mitigation principles and recommendations into the plans.	No	All Hazards	All	Municipality	High	Low	Municipal Budget	Short Term, Depending on update schedule	High	LPR	PR, PI





Table 9.24-13. Proposed Hazard Mitigation Initiatives

Initiative	Mitigation Initiative	Critical Facility (Yes / No)	Hazard(s) Mitigated	Goals and Objectives Met	Lead and Support Agencies	Estimated Benefits	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	Timeline	Priority	Mitigation Category	CRS Category
	Additionally, use these hazard mitigation principles and plan recommendations to update local building and zoning codes to create a more resilient community.											
HP-17	<p>The Township will promote and support non-structural flood hazard mitigation alternatives for at risk properties within the floodplain, specifically those that have been identified as Repetitive Loss (RL) and Severe Repetitive Loss (SRL). At risk areas include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kates tract • Cherry Street • Washington Street <p>Alternatives would include acquisition/relocation or elevation depending on feasibility, and the completion of initiative is dependent on funding, benefits versus cost, and willing participation of property owners.</p>	No	Flood	1, 2	Municipality with support from County	High	High	Grant funding; Municipal budget	Short	High	SIP	PP

Notes:

Not all acronyms and abbreviations defined below are included in the table.

*Does this mitigation initiative reduce the effects of hazards on new and/or existing buildings and/or infrastructure? Not applicable (N/A) is inserted if this does not apply.

Acronyms and Abbreviations:

CAV Community Assistance Visit
 CRS Community Rating System
 DPW Department of Public Works
 FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency
 FPA Floodplain Administrator
 HMA Hazard Mitigation Assistance

Potential FEMA HMA Funding Sources:

FMA Flood Mitigation Assistance Grant Program
 HMGP Hazard Mitigation Grant Program
 PDM Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant Program
 RFC Repetitive Flood Claims Grant Program (discontinued in 2015)
 SRL Severe Repetitive Loss Grant Program (discontinued in 2015)

Timeline:

Short 1 to 5 years
 Long Term 5 years or greater
 OG On-going program
 DOF Depending on funding





N/A Not applicable
NFIP National Flood Insurance Program
OEM Office of Emergency Management

Costs:

Where actual project costs have been reasonably estimated:

Low < \$10,000
Medium \$10,000 to \$100,000
High > \$100,000

Where actual project costs cannot reasonably be established at this time:

Low Possible to fund under existing budget. Project is part of, or can be part of an existing on-going program.
Medium Could budget for under existing work plan, but would require a reapportionment of the budget or a budget amendment, or the cost of the project would have to be spread over multiple years.
High Would require an increase in revenue via an alternative source (i.e., bonds, grants, fee increases) to implement. Existing funding levels are not adequate to cover the costs of the proposed project.

Mitigation Category:

- Local Plans and Regulations (LPR) – These actions include government authorities, policies or codes that influence the way land and buildings are being developed and built.
- Structure and Infrastructure Project (SIP) - These actions involve modifying existing structures and infrastructure to protect them from a hazard or remove them from a hazard area. This could apply to public or private structures as well as critical facilities and infrastructure. This type of action also involves projects to construct manmade structures to reduce the impact of hazards.
- Natural Systems Protection (NSP) – These are actions that minimize damage and losses, and also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems.
- Education and Awareness Programs (EAP) – These are actions to inform and educate citizens, elected officials, and property owners about hazards and potential ways to mitigate them. These actions may also include participation in national programs, such as StormReady and Firewise Communities

CRS Category:

- Preventative Measures (PR) - Government, administrative or regulatory actions, or processes that influence the way land and buildings are developed and built. Examples include planning and zoning, floodplain local laws, capital improvement programs, open space preservation, and storm water management regulations.
- Property Protection (PP) - These actions include public activities to reduce hazard losses or actions that involve (1) modification of existing buildings or structures to protect them from a hazard or (2) removal of the structures from the hazard area. Examples include acquisition, elevation, relocation, structural retrofits, storm shutters, and shatter-resistant glass.
- Public Information (PI) - Actions to inform and educate citizens, elected officials, and property owners about hazards and potential ways to mitigate them. Such actions include outreach projects, real estate disclosure, hazard information centers, and educational programs for school-age children and adults.
- Natural Resource Protection (NR) - Actions that minimize hazard loss and also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems. These actions include sediment and erosion control, stream corridor restoration, watershed management, forest and vegetation management, and wetland restoration and preservation.
- Structural Flood Control Projects (SP) - Actions that involve the construction of structures to reduce the impact of a hazard. Such structures include dams, setback levees, floodwalls, retaining walls, and safe rooms.
- Emergency Services (ES) - Actions that protect people and property during and immediately following a disaster or hazard event. Services include warning systems, emergency response services, and the protection of essential facilities

Benefits:

Where possible, an estimate of project benefits (per FEMA's benefit calculation methodology) has been evaluated against the project costs, and is presented as:

Low= < \$10,000
Medium \$10,000 to \$100,000
High > \$100,000

Where numerical project benefits cannot reasonably be established at this time:

Low Long-term benefits of the project are difficult to quantify in the short term.
Medium Project will have a long-term impact on the reduction of risk exposure to life and property, or project will provide an immediate reduction in the risk exposure to property.
High Project will have an immediate impact on the reduction of risk exposure to life and property.





Table 9.24-14. Summary of Prioritization of Actions

Mitigation Action/Project Number	Mitigation Action/Initiative	Life Safety	Property Protection	Cost-Effectiveness	Technical	Political	Legal	Fiscal	Environmental	Social	Administrative	Multi-Hazard	Timeline	Agency Champion	Other Community	Total	High / Medium / Low
HP-1 (Former HP-1)	<p>Conduct and facilitate community and public education and outreach for residents and business to include, but not be limited to, the following to promote and affect natural hazard risk reduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide and maintain links to the HMP website, and regularly post notices on the County/municipal homepages referencing the HMP web pages. Prepare and distribute informational letters to flood vulnerable property owners and neighborhood associations, explaining the availability of mitigation grant funding to mitigate their properties and instructing them on how they can learn more and implement mitigation. 	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	-1	0	1	1	1	1	0	9	High





Table 9.24-14. Summary of Prioritization of Actions

Mitigation Action/Project Number	Mitigation Action/Initiative	Life Safety	Property Protection	Cost-Effectiveness	Technical	Political	Legal	Fiscal	Environmental	Social	Administrative	Multi-Hazard	Timeline	Agency Champion	Other Community	Total	High / Medium / Low
	Use newsletters to better educate the public on flood insurance, the availability of mitigation grant funding and personal natural hazard risk reduction measures																
HP-2 (Former HP-2)	Incorporate ordinances and/or zoning restrictions to control and mitigate future development in hazard areas, specifically, Section 149 of the Mount Holly Township Code	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	10	Medium
HP-3 (Former HP-3)	Obtain and install backup power sources in critical facilities	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	-1	0	1	1	1	1	0	9	Medium
HP-4 (Former HP-13)	Enhance resilience to severe storms by joining the NOAA "Storm Ready" program.	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	8	Medium
HP-5 (Former HP-14)	Provide public education and outreach on proper installation and/or use of backup power.	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	9	High
HP-6 (Former HP-16)	Support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (eg. Elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage with repetitive loss and severe repetitive loss properties as a priority when applicable. Phase 1: Identify appropriate candidates based on cost-effectiveness and repetitive loss. Phase 2: Work with the property owners to implement	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	10	High





Table 9.24-14. Summary of Prioritization of Actions

Mitigation Action/Project Number	Mitigation Action/Initiative	Life Safety	Property Protection	Cost-Effectiveness	Technical	Political	Legal	Fiscal	Environmental	Social	Administrative	Multi-Hazard	Timeline	Agency Champion	Other Community	Total	High / Medium / Low
	selected action based on available funding from the State and FEMA and local match availability.																
HP-7	<p>Coordinate with the facility managers at County Buildings in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.</p> <p>Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.</p>	0	1	1	1	1	1	-1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	7	Medium
HP-8	<p>Coordinate with the facility managers at Fire Departments in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.</p> <p>Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.</p>	0	1	1	1	1	1	-1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	7	Medium
HP-9	Coordinate with the facility managers at Mount Holly Municipal Utility Authority in the Township to support the	0	1	1	1	1	1	-1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	7	Medium





Table 9.24-14. Summary of Prioritization of Actions

Mitigation Action/Project Number	Mitigation Action/Initiative	Life Safety	Property Protection	Cost-Effectiveness	Technical	Political	Legal	Fiscal	Environmental	Social	Administrative	Multi-Hazard	Timeline	Agency Champion	Other Community	Total	High / Medium / Low
	mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage. Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.																
HP-10	Coordinate with the facility managers at Mount Holly Township Municipal Building in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage. Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.	0	1	1	1	1	1	-1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	7	Medium
HP-11	Coordinate with the facility managers at Mount Holly Township Police Department in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.	0	1	1	1	1	1	-1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	7	Medium





Table 9.24-14. Summary of Prioritization of Actions

Mitigation Action/Project Number	Mitigation Action/Initiative	Life Safety	Property Protection	Cost-Effectiveness	Technical	Political	Legal	Fiscal	Environmental	Social	Administrative	Multi-Hazard	Timeline	Agency Champion	Other Community	Total	High / Medium / Low
	Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.																
HP-12	Coordinate with the facility managers at Relief Fire Engine Co #1 in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage. Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.	0	1	1	1	1	1	-1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	7	Medium
HP-13	Coordinate with the facility managers at Rowan College in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage. Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.	0	1	1	1	1	1	-1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	7	Medium





Table 9.24-14. Summary of Prioritization of Actions

Mitigation Action/Project Number	Mitigation Action/Initiative	Life Safety	Property Protection	Cost-Effectiveness	Technical	Political	Legal	Fiscal	Environmental	Social	Administrative	Multi-Hazard	Timeline	Agency Champion	Other Community	Total	High / Medium / Low
HP-14	<p>Coordinate with the facility managers at Station 501 in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.</p> <p>Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.</p>	0	1	1	1	1	1	-1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	7	Medium
HP-15	<p>Coordinate with the facility managers at Stockton’s Dry Cleaning in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.</p> <p>Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.</p>	0	1	1	1	1	1	-1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	7	Medium
HP-16	<p>During future updates of the Master Plan, Floodplain Management Plan, the Emergency Operation Plan, or other plans, work to integrate hazard mitigation principles and recommendations into the plans. Additionally, use these</p>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	10	High





Table 9.24-14. Summary of Prioritization of Actions

Mitigation Action/Project Number	Mitigation Action/Initiative	Life Safety	Property Protection	Cost-Effectiveness	Technical	Political	Legal	Fiscal	Environmental	Social	Administrative	Multi-Hazard	Timeline	Agency Champion	Other Community	Total	High / Medium / Low
	hazard mitigation principles and plan recommendations to update local building and zoning codes to create a more resilient community.																
HP-17	<p>The Township will promote and support non-structural flood hazard mitigation alternatives for at risk properties within the floodplain, specifically those that have been identified as Repetitive Loss (RL) and Severe Repetitive Loss (SRL). At risk areas include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kates tract • Cherry Street • Washington Street <p>Alternatives would include acquisition/relocation or elevation depending on feasibility, and the completion of initiative is dependent on funding, benefits versus cost, and willing participation of property owners.</p>	1	1	0	1	1	1	-1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	8	High

Note: Refer to Section 6, which conveys guidance on prioritizing mitigation actions.





9.24.7 Future Needs To Better Understand Risk/Vulnerability

None at this time.

9.24.8 Hazard Area Extent and Location

Hazard area extent and location maps have been generated for the Township of Mount Holly that illustrate the probable areas impacted within the municipality. These maps are based on the best available data at the time of the preparation of this plan, and are considered to be adequate for planning purposes. Maps have only been generated for those hazards that can be clearly identified using mapping techniques and technologies, and for which the Township of Mount Holly has significant exposure. These maps are illustrated in the hazard profiles within Section 5.4, Volume I of this Plan.

9.24.9 Additional Comments

None at this time.

DRAFT



Figure 9.24-1. Township of Mount Holly Hazard Area Extent and Location Map 1







Figure 9.24-2. Township of Mount Holly Hazard Area Extent and Location Map 2





Action Number:

HP-3 (Former HP-3)

Mitigation Action Name:

Obtain and install backup power sources in critical facilities

Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed:	All Hazards
Specific problem being mitigated:	Backup power to essential facilities is critical during an emergency event
Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects	
Actions/Projects Considered (name of project and reason for not selecting):	No Action - current problem continues
Action/Project Intended for Implementation	
Description of Selected Action/Project	Installation of generators and backup power required to ensure the building's ability to remain functional during an event.
Mitigation Action Type	SIP
Goals Met	1, 2, 6
Critical Facility (Y/N)	Yes
Benefits (losses avoided)	Medium
Estimated Cost	Medium
Priority*	Medium
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization	Municipality with support from County, NJOEM, and FEMA
Local Planning Mechanism	ES
Potential Funding Sources	Municipal Budget
Timeline for Completion	Short
Reporting on Progress	
Date of Status Report/ Report of Progress	Date: 1/31/18 Status: Budget constraints



Action Number:

HP-3 (Former HP-3)

Mitigation Action Name:

Obtain and install backup power sources in critical facilities

Criteria	Numeric Rank (-1, 0, 1)	Provide brief rationale for numeric rank when appropriate
Life Safety	1	
Property Protection	1	
Cost-Effectiveness	1	
Technical	1	
Political	1	
Legal	1	
Fiscal	0	
Environmental	-1	
Social	0	
Administrative	1	
Multi-Hazard	1	
Timeline	1	
Agency Champion	1	
Other Community Objectives	0	
Total	9	
Priority (H/M/L)	Medium	



Action Number:

HP-6 (Former HP-16)

Mitigation Action Name:

Support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (eg. Elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage with repetitive loss and severe repetitive loss properties as a priority when applicable.
 Phase 1: Identify appropriate candidates based on cost-effectiveness and repetitive loss.
 Phase 2: Work with the property owners to implement selected action based on available funding from the State and FEMA and local match availability.

Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed:	Flood, Severe Storm
Specific problem being mitigated:	Repetitively flooded properties place an undue stress on the property owner and Township and efforts should be made to mitigate them.
Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects	
Actions/Projects Considered (name of project and reason for not selecting):	No Action - current problem continues
Action/Project Intended for Implementation	
Description of Selected Action/Project	Work with owners to explore mitigation opportunities for repetitively flooded properties, and if appropriate and feasible, carry out acquisition, relocation, elevation and floodproofing measures to protect these properties.
Mitigation Action Type	SIP
Goals Met	1, 2
Critical Facility (Y/N)	No
Benefits (losses avoided)	High
Estimated Cost	High
Priority*	High
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization	Municipality (via Municipal Engineer/NFIP Floodplain Administrator) with support from County Planning, NJOEM, FEMA
Local Planning Mechanism	PP
Potential Funding Sources	Municipal budget, Grant funding
Timeline for Completion	Short
Reporting on Progress	
Date of Status Report/ Report of Progress	Date: 5/31/18 Status: New Action



Action Number:

HP-6 (Former HP-16)

Mitigation Action Name:

Support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (eg. Elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage with repetitive loss and severe repetitive loss properties as a priority when applicable.
 Phase 1: Identify appropriate candidates based on cost-effectiveness and repetitive loss.
 Phase 2: Work with the property owners to implement selected action based on available funding from the State and FEMA and local match availability.

Criteria	Numeric Rank (-1, 0, 1)	Provide brief rationale for numeric rank when appropriate
Life Safety	1	
Property Protection	1	
Cost-Effectiveness	1	
Technical	1	
Political	1	
Legal	1	
Fiscal	0	
Environmental	0	
Social	1	
Administrative	1	
Multi-Hazard	1	
Timeline	0	
Agency Champion	1	
Other Community Objectives	0	
Total	10	
Priority (H/M/L)	High	



Action Number:

HP-7

Mitigation Action Name:

Coordinate with the facility managers at County Buildings in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.
 Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option
 Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.

Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed:	Flood, Severe Storm
Specific problem being mitigated:	Critical facilities located in the floodplain are not only susceptible to flood damage but also create unnecessary complications for the municipality during an emergency event and post-disaster recovery.
Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects	
Actions/Projects Considered (name of project and reason for not selecting):	No Action - current problem continues
Action/Project Intended for Implementation	
Description of Selected Action/Project	Mitigation of critical facilities.
Mitigation Action Type	SIP
Goals Met	1, 2, 6
Critical Facility (Y/N)	Yes
Benefits (losses avoided)	High
Estimated Cost	High
Priority*	Medium
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization	Municipality with support from County
Local Planning Mechanism	SP, PP
Potential Funding Sources	HMA Grants, Municipal Budget
Timeline for Completion	Short Term, DOF
Reporting on Progress	
Date of Status Report/ Report of Progress	Date: 5/31/18 Status: New Action



Action Number:
Mitigation Action Name:

HP-7

Coordinate with the facility managers at County Buildings in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.
 Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option
 Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.

Criteria	Numeric Rank (-1, 0, 1)	Provide brief rationale for numeric rank when appropriate
Life Safety	0	
Property Protection	1	
Cost-Effectiveness	1	
Technical	1	
Political	1	
Legal	1	
Fiscal	-1	
Environmental	0	
Social	1	
Administrative	1	
Multi-Hazard	0	
Timeline	0	
Agency Champion	1	
Other Community Objectives	0	
Total	7	
Priority (H/M/L)	Medium	



Action Number:

HP-8

Mitigation Action Name:

Coordinate with the facility managers at Fire Departments in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.
 Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option
 Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.

Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed:	Flood, Severe Storm
Specific problem being mitigated:	Critical facilities located in the floodplain are not only susceptible to flood damage but also create unnecessary complications for the municipality during an emergency event and post-disaster recovery.
Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects	
Actions/Projects Considered (name of project and reason for not selecting):	No Action - current problem continues
Action/Project Intended for Implementation	
Description of Selected Action/Project	Mitigation of critical facilities.
Mitigation Action Type	SIP
Goals Met	1, 2, 6
Critical Facility (Y/N)	Yes
Benefits (losses avoided)	High
Estimated Cost	High
Priority*	Medium
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization	Municipality with support from County
Local Planning Mechanism	SP, PP
Potential Funding Sources	HMA Grants, Municipal Budget
Timeline for Completion	Short Term, DOF
Reporting on Progress	
Date of Status Report/ Report of Progress	Date: 5/31/18 Status: New Action



Action Number:
Mitigation Action Name:

HP-8

Coordinate with the facility managers at Fire Departments in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.
 Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option
 Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.

Criteria	Numeric Rank (-1, 0, 1)	Provide brief rationale for numeric rank when appropriate
Life Safety	0	
Property Protection	1	
Cost-Effectiveness	1	
Technical	1	
Political	1	
Legal	1	
Fiscal	-1	
Environmental	0	
Social	1	
Administrative	1	
Multi-Hazard	0	
Timeline	0	
Agency Champion	1	
Other Community Objectives	0	
Total	7	
Priority (H/M/L)	Medium	



Action Number:

HP-9

Mitigation Action Name:

Coordinate with the facility managers at Mount Holly Municipal Utility Authority in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.
 Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option
 Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.

Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed:	Flood, Severe Storm
Specific problem being mitigated:	Critical facilities located in the floodplain are not only susceptible to flood damage but also create unnecessary complications for the municipality during an emergency event and post-disaster recovery.
Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects	
Actions/Projects Considered (name of project and reason for not selecting):	No Action - current problem continues
Action/Project Intended for Implementation	
Description of Selected Action/Project	Mitigation of critical facilities.
Mitigation Action Type	SIP
Goals Met	1, 2, 6
Critical Facility (Y/N)	Yes
Benefits (losses avoided)	High
Estimated Cost	High
Priority*	Medium
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization	Municipality with support from County
Local Planning Mechanism	SP, PP
Potential Funding Sources	HMA Grants, Municipal Budget
Timeline for Completion	Short Term, DOF
Reporting on Progress	
Date of Status Report/ Report of Progress	Date: 5/31/18 Status: New Action



Action Number:
Mitigation Action Name:

HP-9

Coordinate with the facility managers at Mount Holly Municipal Utility Authority in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.
 Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option
 Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.

Criteria	Numeric Rank (-1, 0, 1)	Provide brief rationale for numeric rank when appropriate
Life Safety	0	
Property Protection	1	
Cost-Effectiveness	1	
Technical	1	
Political	1	
Legal	1	
Fiscal	-1	
Environmental	0	
Social	1	
Administrative	1	
Multi-Hazard	0	
Timeline	0	
Agency Champion	1	
Other Community Objectives	0	
Total	7	
Priority (H/M/L)	Medium	



Action Number:

HP-10

Mitigation Action Name:

Coordinate with the facility managers at Mount Holly Township Municipal Building in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.
 Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option
 Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.

Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed:	Flood, Severe Storm
Specific problem being mitigated:	Critical facilities located in the floodplain are not only susceptible to flood damage but also create unnecessary complications for the municipality during an emergency event and post-disaster recovery.
Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects	
Actions/Projects Considered (name of project and reason for not selecting):	No Action - current problem continues
Action/Project Intended for Implementation	
Description of Selected Action/Project	Mitigation of critical facilities.
Mitigation Action Type	SIP
Goals Met	1, 2, 6
Critical Facility (Y/N)	Yes
Benefits (losses avoided)	High
Estimated Cost	High
Priority*	Medium
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization	Municipality with support from County
Local Planning Mechanism	SP, PP
Potential Funding Sources	HMA Grants, Municipal Budget
Timeline for Completion	Short Term, DOF
Reporting on Progress	
Date of Status Report/ Report of Progress	Date: 5/31/18 Status: New Action



Action Number:

HP-10

Mitigation Action Name:

Coordinate with the facility managers at Mount Holly Township Municipal Building in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.
 Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option
 Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.

Criteria	Numeric Rank (-1, 0, 1)	Provide brief rationale for numeric rank when appropriate
Life Safety	0	
Property Protection	1	
Cost-Effectiveness	1	
Technical	1	
Political	1	
Legal	1	
Fiscal	-1	
Environmental	0	
Social	1	
Administrative	1	
Multi-Hazard	0	
Timeline	0	
Agency Champion	1	
Other Community Objectives	0	
Total	7	
Priority (H/M/L)	Medium	



Action Number:

HP-11

Mitigation Action Name:

Coordinate with the facility managers at Mount Holly Township Police Department in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.
 Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option
 Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.

Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed:	Flood, Severe Storm
Specific problem being mitigated:	Critical facilities located in the floodplain are not only susceptible to flood damage but also create unnecessary complications for the municipality during an emergency event and post-disaster recovery.
Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects	
Actions/Projects Considered (name of project and reason for not selecting):	No Action - current problem continues
Action/Project Intended for Implementation	
Description of Selected Action/Project	Mitigation of critical facilities.
Mitigation Action Type	SIP
Goals Met	1, 2, 6
Critical Facility (Y/N)	Yes
Benefits (losses avoided)	High
Estimated Cost	High
Priority*	Medium
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization	Municipality with support from County
Local Planning Mechanism	SP, PP
Potential Funding Sources	HMA Grants, Municipal Budget
Timeline for Completion	Short Term, DOF
Reporting on Progress	
Date of Status Report/ Report of Progress	Date: 5/31/18 Status: New Action



Action Number:

HP-11

Mitigation Action Name:

Coordinate with the facility managers at Mount Holly Township Police Department in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.
 Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option
 Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.

Criteria	Numeric Rank (-1, 0, 1)	Provide brief rationale for numeric rank when appropriate
Life Safety	0	
Property Protection	1	
Cost-Effectiveness	1	
Technical	1	
Political	1	
Legal	1	
Fiscal	-1	
Environmental	0	
Social	1	
Administrative	1	
Multi-Hazard	0	
Timeline	0	
Agency Champion	1	
Other Community Objectives	0	
Total	7	
Priority (H/M/L)	Medium	



Action Number:

HP-12

Mitigation Action Name:

Coordinate with the facility managers at Relief Fire Engine Co #1 in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.
 Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option
 Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.

Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed:	Flood, Severe Storm
Specific problem being mitigated:	Critical facilities located in the floodplain are not only susceptible to flood damage but also create unnecessary complications for the municipality during an emergency event and post-disaster recovery.
Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects	
Actions/Projects Considered (name of project and reason for not selecting):	No Action - current problem continues
Action/Project Intended for Implementation	
Description of Selected Action/Project	Mitigation of critical facilities.
Mitigation Action Type	SIP
Goals Met	1, 2, 6
Critical Facility (Y/N)	Yes
Benefits (losses avoided)	High
Estimated Cost	High
Priority*	Medium
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization	Municipality with support from County
Local Planning Mechanism	SP, PP
Potential Funding Sources	HMA Grants, Municipal Budget
Timeline for Completion	Short Term, DOF
Reporting on Progress	
Date of Status Report/ Report of Progress	Date: 5/31/18 Status: New Action



Action Number:
Mitigation Action Name:

HP-12

Coordinate with the facility managers at Relief Fire Engine Co #1 in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.
 Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option
 Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.

Criteria	Numeric Rank (-1, 0, 1)	Provide brief rationale for numeric rank when appropriate
Life Safety	0	
Property Protection	1	
Cost-Effectiveness	1	
Technical	1	
Political	1	
Legal	1	
Fiscal	-1	
Environmental	0	
Social	1	
Administrative	1	
Multi-Hazard	0	
Timeline	0	
Agency Champion	1	
Other Community Objectives	0	
Total	7	
Priority (H/M/L)	Medium	



Action Number:

HP-13

Mitigation Action Name:

Coordinate with the facility managers at Rowan College in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.
 Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option
 Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.

Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed:	Flood, Severe Storm
Specific problem being mitigated:	Critical facilities located in the floodplain are not only susceptible to flood damage but also create unnecessary complications for the municipality during an emergency event and post-disaster recovery.
Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects	
Actions/Projects Considered (name of project and reason for not selecting):	No Action - current problem continues
Action/Project Intended for Implementation	
Description of Selected Action/Project	Mitigation of critical facilities.
Mitigation Action Type	SIP
Goals Met	1, 2, 6
Critical Facility (Y/N)	Yes
Benefits (losses avoided)	High
Estimated Cost	High
Priority*	Medium
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization	Municipality with support from County
Local Planning Mechanism	SP, PP
Potential Funding Sources	HMA Grants, Municipal Budget
Timeline for Completion	Short Term, DOF
Reporting on Progress	
Date of Status Report/ Report of Progress	Date: 5/31/18 Status: New Action



Action Number:
Mitigation Action Name:

HP-13

Coordinate with the facility managers at Rowan College in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.
 Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option
 Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.

Criteria	Numeric Rank (-1, 0, 1)	Provide brief rationale for numeric rank when appropriate
Life Safety	0	
Property Protection	1	
Cost-Effectiveness	1	
Technical	1	
Political	1	
Legal	1	
Fiscal	-1	
Environmental	0	
Social	1	
Administrative	1	
Multi-Hazard	0	
Timeline	0	
Agency Champion	1	
Other Community Objectives	0	
Total	7	
Priority (H/M/L)	Medium	



Action Number:

HP-14

Mitigation Action Name:

Coordinate with the facility managers at Station 501 in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.
 Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option
 Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.

Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed:	Flood, Severe Storm
Specific problem being mitigated:	Critical facilities located in the floodplain are not only susceptible to flood damage but also create unnecessary complications for the municipality during an emergency event and post-disaster recovery.
Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects	
Actions/Projects Considered (name of project and reason for not selecting):	No Action - current problem continues
Action/Project Intended for Implementation	
Description of Selected Action/Project	Mitigation of critical facilities.
Mitigation Action Type	SIP
Goals Met	1, 2, 6
Critical Facility (Y/N)	Yes
Benefits (losses avoided)	High
Estimated Cost	High
Priority*	Medium
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization	Municipality with support from County
Local Planning Mechanism	SP, PP
Potential Funding Sources	HMA Grants, Municipal Budget
Timeline for Completion	Short Term, DOF
Reporting on Progress	
Date of Status Report/ Report of Progress	Date: 5/31/18 Status: New Action



Action Number:
Mitigation Action Name:

HP-14

Coordinate with the facility managers at Station 501 in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.
 Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option
 Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.

Criteria	Numeric Rank (-1, 0, 1)	Provide brief rationale for numeric rank when appropriate
Life Safety	0	
Property Protection	1	
Cost-Effectiveness	1	
Technical	1	
Political	1	
Legal	1	
Fiscal	-1	
Environmental	0	
Social	1	
Administrative	1	
Multi-Hazard	0	
Timeline	0	
Agency Champion	1	
Other Community Objectives	0	
Total	7	
Priority (H/M/L)	Medium	



Action Number:

HP-15

Mitigation Action Name:

Coordinate with the facility managers at Stockton's Dry Cleaning in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.
 Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option
 Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.

Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed:	Flood, Severe Storm
Specific problem being mitigated:	Critical facilities located in the floodplain are not only susceptible to flood damage but also create unnecessary complications for the municipality during an emergency event and post-disaster recovery.
Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects	
Actions/Projects Considered (name of project and reason for not selecting):	No Action - current problem continues
Action/Project Intended for Implementation	
Description of Selected Action/Project	Mitigation of critical facilities.
Mitigation Action Type	SIP
Goals Met	1, 2, 6
Critical Facility (Y/N)	Yes
Benefits (losses avoided)	High
Estimated Cost	High
Priority*	Medium
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization	Municipality with support from County
Local Planning Mechanism	SP, PP
Potential Funding Sources	HMA Grants, Municipal Budget
Timeline for Completion	Short Term, DOF
Reporting on Progress	
Date of Status Report/ Report of Progress	Date: 5/31/18 Status: New Action



Action Number:

HP-15

Mitigation Action Name:

Coordinate with the facility managers at Stockton’s Dry Cleaning in the Township to support the mitigation of vulnerable structures via retrofit (e.g. elevation, flood-proofing) or relocation to protect structures from future damage.
 Phase 1: Identify most cost-effective mitigation option
 Phase 2: Work with facility manager to implement selected action based on available funding and local match ability.

Criteria	Numeric Rank (-1, 0, 1)	Provide brief rationale for numeric rank when appropriate
Life Safety	0	
Property Protection	1	
Cost-Effectiveness	1	
Technical	1	
Political	1	
Legal	1	
Fiscal	-1	
Environmental	0	
Social	1	
Administrative	1	
Multi-Hazard	0	
Timeline	0	
Agency Champion	1	
Other Community Objectives	0	
Total	7	
Priority (H/M/L)	Medium	



Action Number:

HP-17

Mitigation Action Name:

The Township will promote and support non-structural flood hazard mitigation alternatives for at risk properties within the floodplain, specifically those that have been identified as Repetitive Loss (RL) and Severe Repetitive Loss (SRL). At risk areas include:

- Kates tract
- Cherry Street
- Washington Street

Alternatives would include acquisition/relocation or elevation depending on feasibility, and the completion of initiative is dependent on funding, benefits versus cost, and willing participation of property owners.

Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed:	Flood
Specific problem being mitigated:	Repetitively flooded properties place an undue stress on the property owner and Township and efforts should be made to mitigate them.
Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects	
Actions/Projects Considered (name of project and reason for not selecting):	No Action - current problem continues
Action/Project Intended for Implementation	
Description of Selected Action/Project	Work with owners to explore mitigation opportunities for repetitively flooded properties, and if appropriate and feasible, carry out acquisition, relocation, elevation and floodproofing measures to protect these properties.
Mitigation Action Type	SIP
Goals Met	1, 2
Critical Facility (Y/N)	No
Benefits (losses avoided)	High
Estimated Cost	High
Priority*	High
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization	Municipality with support from County
Local Planning Mechanism	PP
Potential Funding Sources	Grant funding; Municipal budget
Timeline for Completion	Short
Reporting on Progress	
Date of Status Report/ Report of Progress	Date: 5/31/18 Status: New Action



Action Number:
Mitigation Action Name:

HP-17

The Township will promote and support non-structural flood hazard mitigation alternatives for at risk properties within the floodplain, specifically those that have been identified as Repetitive Loss (RL) and Severe Repetitive Loss (SRL). At risk areas include:

- Kates tract
- Cherry Street
- Washington Street

Alternatives would include acquisition/relocation or elevation depending on feasibility, and the completion of initiative is dependent on funding, benefits versus cost, and willing participation of property owners.

Criteria	Numeric Rank (-1, 0, 1)	Provide brief rationale for numeric rank when appropriate
Life Safety	1	
Property Protection	1	
Cost-Effectiveness	0	
Technical	1	
Political	1	
Legal	1	
Fiscal	-1	
Environmental	0	
Social	0	
Administrative	1	
Multi-Hazard	0	
Timeline	1	
Agency Champion	1	
Other Community Objectives	0	
Total	8	
Priority (H/M/L)	High	